

### Contents

Foreword	3
1. Management questionnaire	4
A. Audit planning and strategy	5
B. Audit execution and conclusion	7
C. Insights	10
2. Auditor questionnaire	11
A. The role of management	12
B. The role of the audit	
committee	13



## The electronic version of this file is editable. It allows users to:

- Choose the responses
- Highlight text
- Write notes
- Add comments
- Strike out questions and add new ones

This allows users to customise the questionnaire to reflect the particular circumstances of the company and its audit as well as annotate actions and/or report on progress.



# Foreword

This document is an optional supplement to EY's toolkit for audit committees 'Assessing the quality and effectiveness of the external audit' updated in 2020.

For full context, we recommend that this document is read in conjunction with the main toolkit for audit committees, which is available for download here.

### Purpose of this document

While it is important that audit committees have an independent point of view on audit quality, they may consider it necessary for their assessment to be informed by input from executive management. Similarly, an audit is influenced by the quality and effectiveness of the reporting function, the reporting processes that management have put in place and the audit committee's input and interventions. Hence the audit committee may also want to ask for the auditor's views.

To aid the collation of this feedback, this document includes two questionnaires that can be completed by management and auditor should the audit committee wish to include

their respective views as part of their overall assessment of audit quality. We believe that if used together with the main toolkit, audit committees will be able to conduct a holistic assessment of the quality of an audit.

### **Notes for use**

#### **Timing**

In order to ensure that the audit committee can make timely interventions, the questionnaire for management can be completed as the audit progresses. It is therefore structured along the key phases of the audit. The questionnaire for the auditor is best completed as soon as possible after the audit is completed.

#### Completing the questionnaires

We have designed simple questions to address the key considerations relevant to a highquality audit. The questionnaires can be edited to add questions that are relevant to the specific circumstances of a company or its audit.

We have included note-taking space, to help capture qualitative observations in key areas. This should help with the feedback process and with the disclosures to be included in the annual report and accounts.



## A. Audit planning and strategy

A1. Team structure and leadership demonstrated by the audit partner	Yes	Mostly	No	N/A
Was there sufficient team continuity from the prior year?				
Did the team have the necessary understanding of the company's business and industry?				
Did the team demonstrate accounting and auditing expertise?				
In light of the complexity of the current year's audit, did the senior audit team members commit an appropriate amount of time to supervise the team, meet directly with management and be involved in high risk areas?				

Observations

A2. A tailored audit	Yes	Mostly	No	N/A
Did the audit team interact with management appropriately to obtain an/update their understanding of the changes to the business?				
Did the audit team engage early regarding changes to accounting/auditing standards and other regulations and the impact these will have on the audit?				
Did the basis of materiality adequately consider any one-off/non-recurring material items?				
Were changes made to the composition of the audit team (including specialists) to reflect changes to the business?				
Did the auditor explain how any feedback from regulatory reviews has been incorporated into the audit plan?				

### A. Audit planning and strategy

A3. Globally integrated audit approach	Yes	Mostly	No	N/A
Did the audit team identify and discuss changes to the risk profiles of the component locations?				
Did the audit team identify and discuss changes to the relative significance of the component locations in the context of the group's overall results?				

#### Observations



### N/A A4. Sources of assurance Mostly Yes Did the audit team interact with functions such as internal audit, risk, compliance, legal, as part of their audit planning? Did the audit team gain an understanding of changes to processes and controls as part of their audit planning? Was the extent to which technology was used to enhance audit delivery, appropriate? Did the audit team make use of data analysis to test entire populations? Did the audit team engage on data extraction protocols as part of their audit planning? Did the audit approach reflect innovative thinking?

### B. Audit execution and conclusion

B1. Robust challenge and professional scepticism	Yes	Mostly	No	N/A
Did the auditor demonstrate professional integrity and objectivity?				
Did the audit team interact with functions outside finance?				
Did the audit team conduct visits of operations, shared service centres etc.?				
Do you feel you were constructively challenged by the audit team?				
Was the level of audit partner and senior team member engagement in the audit process and audit decisions appropriate and timely?				
Were changes made to the audit team in response to developments since planning (e.g., team strengthened to deal with a major corporate transaction)?				
Was the audit team adequately resourced?				
Were any handovers from key team members no longer involved in the audit appropriately handled?				

B2. Technical excellence	Yes	Mostly	No	N/A
Was technical excellence visible within the team and was it appropriately demonstrated?				
Did the resolution of issues and finalisation of work indicate that timely review of audit work, especially in areas of higher risk, was occurring?				
If there were any significant differences in views between you and the external auditor, were they dealt with professionally and in a robust manner?				
If the company's annual report has been subject to a review by the regulator, did their observations/findings highlight matters that the auditor had failed to identify in the prior year(s)?				

### Observations

### B. Audit execution and conclusion

B3. Group audit execution	Yes	Mostly	No	N/A
Did the primary team demonstrate a strong understanding of material issues at the component level and their resolution?				
Did the primary team inform you on a timely basis of any issues identified at component locations that had the potential to become material to the group audit?				
Was a consistent global audit methodology applied for a multi-location audit?				
Was local management feedback on site visits by the primary team positive?				
Was local management feedback on the performance of the local audit team positive?				

B4. Communication and audit reporting	Yes	Mostly	No	N/A
Did the auditor communicate identified or potential issues in a timely manner to allow you to resolve them appropriately?				
Did the audit team make effective use of issue trackers/dashboards, client assistance schedules, interim and 'early warning' reports?				
If the company's annual report was subject to review by the regulator, did the auditor provide you with appropriate support during this process e.g., in reviewing the company's draft response?				

Observations

### B. Audit execution and conclusion

B5. Efficiency and project management	Yes	Mostly	No	N/A
Was the quality and timeliness of information requests from the auditor to management appropriate?				
Was the extent of procedures carried out before the end of the reporting period appropriate?				
For a newly tendered audit, is there evidence that the winning firm had proposed a fee that is sustainable over the medium to long term?				
If an over-run fee was requested in respect of the prior year audit, was it appropriately explained and was it reflective of scope changes or events that could not have been factored into the audit plan?				
Are you satisfied that there was no undue pressure on audit resources, the timing of work or overall approach that would have the potential to jeopardise audit quality?				



## C. Insights

C1. Insights from the audit	Yes	Mostly	No	N/A
Has the audit team provided insight and points of view on a forward-looking basis e.g., on how strategic decisions of the company, changes in the company's environment, and developments in accounting and regulatory standards may affect future reporting?				
Have you been provided with updates and insights to allow you to operate effectively in a changing environment?				
Were updates from the auditor tailored, specific and relevant to the company and its circumstances rather than generic or boilerplate?				
Did the insights include:				
Accounting technical matters and updates				
Control environment observations and benchmarking				
Report process observations and benchmarking				
Narrative reporting trends and good practice				
Corporate governance developments				
Regulatory updates including independence				
If limited reliance was placed on the control environment, was the rationale clearly explained together with improvement observations?				
Did the audit team share insights from their use of data analysis?				

Insights from the audit continued	Yes	Mostly	No	N/A
Did the auditor discuss how the company's accounting policies, processes and disclosures compare with industry trends and leading practices?				
Is the audit team proactive in seeking feedback about the quality of the audit and do they seek feedback in a manner that distinguishes the quality of service from the quality of the audit?				
Has the audit team acted on feedback received in previous years?				



## A. The role of management

A1. Management	Yes	Mostly	No	N/A
Were key management personnel available to the auditor when needed and responsive to their requests?				
Was information requested by the auditor prepared on a timely basis?				
Was information requested by the auditor complete and accurate?				
Was information requested by the auditor reviewed by appropriate levels in management to ensure it is technically accurate and of a good quality prior to being provided to the auditor?				
Were management's papers on key judgements, estimates and uncertainties researched and written well i.e., did they:				
Clearly articulate the issue?				
Refer to applicable technical standards and requirements?				
Articulate alternatives considered by management?				
Include a final conclusion/recommendation and a rationale for it?				
Has management been proactive in preparing for changes arising from new accounting standards, governance requirements and other regulations?				
Has management been proactive in seeking early input from the auditor e.g., on complex, unusual or sensitive transactions and areas of reporting change?				

Management continued	Yes	Mostly	No	N/A
Does the company's reporting timetable allow sufficient time for robust quality control and review processes to be applied by both management and the auditor?				
Did the audit team identify audit adjustments and control deficiencies?				
Do the right culture and incentives exist within the company to focus on the quality and timeliness of reporting and to facilitate the external audit process?				
Did management demonstrate a commitment to high quality external reporting?				
Did management act on the auditor's recommendations and feedback from prior year e.g., relating to the corporate reporting processes and controls?				

### B. The role of the audit committee

B1. Audit committee	Yes	Mostly	No	N/A
Did the audit committee (independently from the auditor) make its own assessment of the factors that could affect audit quality and communicate expectations for the next year to the auditor?				
Has the audit committee been proactive in seeking external inputs from investors and other stakeholders to inform the audit committee's consideration of audit quality and effectiveness?				
Where needed, has the audit committee been proactive in engaging with the regulator e.g., the FRC's Audit Quality Review Team to discuss the company's external audit inspection report or broader thematic reviews and their impact on the audit of the company?				
Where there was evidence that there are issues relating to the company's own processes, information or controls (i.e., outside the auditor's control), did the audit committee address these with management?				
Did the audit committee monitor management's responsiveness to the auditor's feedback on areas for improvement in information, processes and controls?				
Did the audit committee support the auditor in appropriately challenging management especially where the auditor has raised concerns?				
Did the audit committee exercise oversight with respect to the quality and timeliness of information, records and analyses produced by management to support the external audit process especially in areas of significant risk?				

Audit committee continued	Yes	Mostly	No	N/A
Did the audit committee use its expertise and experience to review and challenge management on the significant reporting judgements?				
Did the audit committee consider how its work in relation to internal audit can help facilitate a high-quality external audit? E.g., monitoring progress by holding internal audit accountable for improvements in the entity's control environment could contribute to the quality and effectiveness of the external audit.				
Where considered necessary, has the audit committee sought independent external advice beyond that provided by management or the auditor?				
Did the audit committee probe and challenge the audit team where it needs further explanations, where it identifies apparent inconsistencies with its own knowledge, or where the communications provided are not clear?				
Where there are differences between the significant issues reported in the audit committee's report and the risk areas identified in the auditor's report, is the audit committee able to articulate why these exist?				

### B. The role of the audit committee

Audit committee continued	Yes	Mostly	No	N/A
Did the audit committee influence changes in the audit process to enhance audit quality (e.g., requesting more work on a high-risk area and meeting with the audit regulator to discuss matters of audit quality)?				
Has the audit committee considered how it distinguishes between audit quality (including how effective the audit was at identifying and addressing matters that could compromise the quality of the company's reporting) versus the quality of service?				



#### **Contact us**

Please contact a member of our Corporate Governance team listed below or your usual EY contact.

Mala Shah-Coulon

T: + 44(0) 20 7951 0355 E: mshahcoulon@uk.ey.com

Maria Kepa

T: + 44(0) 77 9564 5183 E: mkepa@uk.ey.com

Samantha Chew

T + 44(0) 78 8048 7688 E: schew9@uk.ey.com

We welcome your feedback on this document. Please email corporategovernance@uk.ey.com

### EY | Assurance | Tax | Transactions | Advisory

#### About EY

EY is a global leader in assurance, tax, transaction and advisory services. The insights and quality services we deliver help build trust and confidence in the capital markets and in economies the world over. We develop outstanding leaders who team to deliver on our promises to all of our stakeholders. In so doing, we play a critical role in building a better working world for our people, for our clients and for our communities.

EY refers to the global organization, and may refer to one or more, of the member firms of Ernst & Young Global Limited, each of which is a separate legal entity. Ernst & Young Global Limited, a UK company limited by guarantee, does not provide services to clients. For more information about our organization, please visit ey.com.

#### **Ernst & Young LLP**

The UK firm Ernst & Young LLP is a limited liability partnership registered in England and Wales with registered number OC300001 and is a member firm of Ernst & Young Global Limited.

Ernst & Young LLP, 1 More London Place, London, SE1 2AF.

© 2020 Ernst & Young LLP. Published in the UK.

All Rights Reserved.

ED None

Designed by JDJ Creative Ltd

Information in this publication is intended to provide only a general outline of the subjects covered. It should neither be regarded as comprehensive nor sufficient for making decisions, nor should it be used in place of professional advice. Ernst & Young LLP accepts no responsibility for any loss arising from any action taken or not taken by anyone using this material.

### ey.com/uk